ORIGINAL PAPER

On Harary index

Bo Zhou · Xiaochun Cai · Nenad Trinajstić

Received: 26 October 2007 / Accepted: 26 November 2007 / Published online: 24 January 2008 © Springer Science+Business Media, LLC 2008

Abstract We report lower and upper bounds for the Harary index of a connected (molecular) graph, and, in particular, upper bounds of triangle- and quadrangle-free graphs. We also give the Nordhaus–Gaddum-type result for the Harary index.

Keywords Harary index \cdot Harary matrix \cdot Wiener index \cdot Triangle-free graphs \cdot Quandrangle-free graphs

1 Introduction

The Harary index of a molecular graph G, denoted by H(G), has been introduced independently in this Journal by Plavšić et al. [1] and by Ivanciuc et al. [2] in 1993 for the characterization of molecular graphs. It has been named by Plavšić et al. [1] the Harary index in honour of Professor Frank Harary on the occasion of his 70th birthday. Ivanciuc et al. [2] called it initially the reciprocal distance sum index, but later they also adopted the suggested name [3]. Nowadays the name Harary index is generally accepted (e.g., [4]).

Dedicated to the memory of Professor Frank Harary (1921–2005), the late grandmaster of both graph theory and chemical graph theory.

B. Zhou (⋈) · X. Cai

Department of Mathematics, South China Normal University, Guangzhou 510631,

People's Republic of China e-mail: zhoubo@scnu.edu.cn

N. Trinajstić

The Rugjer Bošković Institute, P. O. Box 180, Zagreb 10002, Croatia

e-mail: trina@irb.hr



The Harary index is defined as the half-sum of the elements in the reciprocal distance matrix, also called the Harary matrix [5]. This definition parallels the Hosoya definition of the Wiener index as the half-sum of the elements in the distance matrix [6]. The motivation for introduction of the Harary index was pragmatic—the aim was to design a distance index differing from the Wiener index [7] in that the contributions to it from the distant atoms in a molecule should be much smaller than from near atoms, since in many instances the distant atoms influence each other much less than near atoms.

A few years after the two initial publications on Harary index, it has been extended to heterosystems [8] and the hyper-Harary index was introduced [9]. Its modification has also been proposed [10]. The Harary index and related molecular descriptors have shown a modest success in structure-property correlations [11–15], but their use in combination with other molecular descriptors improves the correlations (e.g., [16]). The Harary index has a number of interesting properties (e.g., [8]). In this article, in continuation of our studies on the properties of the Harary index, we provide its lower and upper bounds of G, and also give the Nordhaus–Gaddum-type result [17] for it.

2 Preliminaries

We consider simple (molecular) graphs, i.e., graphs without multiple edges and loops [18]. Let G be a connected graph with the vertex-set $V(G) = \{v_1, v_2, \ldots, v_n\}$. For $v_i \in V(G)$, $\Gamma(v_i)$ denotes the set of its (first) neighbors in G and the degree of v_i is $\delta_i = |\Gamma(v_i)|$. The term $\sum_{i=1}^n \delta_i^2$ is known as the first Zagreb index of G, denoted by $M_1(G)$ [19–23].

The distance matrix **D** of G is an $n \times n$ matrix (\mathbf{D}_{ij}) such that \mathbf{D}_{ij} is just the distance (i.e., the number of edges of a shortest path) between the vertices v_i and v_j in G [5], denoted by $d(v_i, v_j | G)$. The reciprocal distance matrix **RD** of G is an $n \times n$ matrix (\mathbf{RD}_{ij}) such that [5]

$$\mathbf{RD}_{ij} = \begin{cases} \frac{1}{\mathbf{D}_{ij}} & \text{if } i \neq j, \\ 0 & \text{if } i = j. \end{cases}$$

Recall the Hosoya definition of the Wiener index [6] of G, denoted by W(G),

$$W(G) = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=1}^{n} \sum_{j=1}^{n} \mathbf{D}_{ij} = \sum_{i < j} \mathbf{D}_{ij}.$$

The Haray index H(G) is defined in the similar fashion [1,2]

$$H(G) = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=1}^{n} \sum_{j=1}^{n} \mathbf{R} \mathbf{D}_{ij} = \sum_{i < j} \mathbf{R} \mathbf{D}_{ij}.$$



Let P_n and S_n be respectively the path and the star with n vertices. Then [24] for any tree T with n vertices, $H(P_n) \le H(T) \le H(S_n)$ with left (right, respectively) equality if and only if $T = P_n$ ($T = S_n$, respectively). Let K_n be the complete graph with n vertices.

3 Bounds for the Harary index

First we give lower and upper bounds for the Harary index in terms of the number of vertices and the number of edges.

Proposition 1 Let G be a connected graph with n > 2 vertices. Then

$$1 + n \sum_{k=2}^{n-1} \frac{1}{k} \le H(G) \le \frac{n(n-1)}{2}$$
 (1)

with left (right, respectively) equality if and only if $G = P_n$ ($G = K_n$, respectively).

Proof It is easily seen that adding an edge to G will increase the Harary index. Thus, if H(G) is maximum then G is the complete graph, and if H(G) is minimum then G is a tree. Note that $H(K_n) = \frac{n(n-1)}{2}$ and $H(P_n) = \sum_{k=1}^{n-1} \frac{n-k}{k} = 1 + n \sum_{k=2}^{n-1} \frac{1}{k}$. Thus, the right inequality in (1) follows and equality holds if and only if $G = K_n$, and by Gutman's result [24] mentioned above, the left inequality in (1) follows and equality holds if and only if $G = P_n$.

Proposition 2 Let G be a connected graph with $n \ge 2$ vertices and m edges. Then

$$H(P_n) + \frac{m-n+1}{2} \le H(G) \le \frac{n(n-1)}{4} + \frac{m}{2}$$
 (2)

with left (right, respectively) equality if and only if $G = P_n$ or K_3 (G has diameter at most 2, respectively).

Proof Since there are $\binom{n}{2} = \frac{n(n-1)}{2}$ vertex pairs (at distance at least one) and the number of vertex pairs at distance one is m, we have

$$\mathrm{H}(G) \le m + \frac{1}{2} \left[\frac{n(n-1)}{2} - m \right]$$

with equality if and only if G has diameter at most 2.

If m = n - 1, then by Proposition 1, the left equality in (2) holds. Suppose that $m \ge n$. Note that for any connected subgraph G' of G obtained by deleting an edge $v_s v_t$ from G, we have $H(G) \ge H(G') + 1 - \frac{1}{2} = H(G') + \frac{1}{2}$ with equality if and only if $d(v_s, v_t|G') = 2$ and $d(v_i, v_j|G') = d(v_i, v_j|G)$ for any pair of vertices $\{v_i, v_j\}$ different from $\{v_s, v_t\}$.



Let T be a spanning tree [25] of G. Then T can be obtained from G by deleting m-n+1 edges, say e_1,\ldots,e_{m-n+1} , of G outside T. Let $G_k=G_{k-1}-e_k$ for $k=1,\ldots,m-n+1$, where $G_0=G$ and $G_{m-n+1}=T$. Then $\mathrm{H}(G_{k-1})\geq \mathrm{H}(G_k)+\frac{1}{2}$ for $k=1,\ldots,m-n+1$, and so we have $\mathrm{H}(G_0)\geq \mathrm{H}(G_{m-n+1})+(m-n+1)\cdot \frac{1}{2}$, i.e., $\mathrm{H}(G)\geq \mathrm{H}(T)+\frac{m-n+1}{2}$. By Proposition 1, $\mathrm{H}(T)\geq \mathrm{H}(P_n)$. Thus the left inequality in (2) holds. Suppose that left equality holds in (2). Then $T=P_n$ and we can add an edge between two vertices, say v_{s_0},v_{t_0} , of distance two in $T=P_n$ to form G_{m-n} such that $d(v_i,v_j|T)=d(v_i,v_j|G_{m-n})$ for any pair of vertices $\{v_i,v_j\}$ different from $\{v_{s_0},v_{t_0}\}$. This is only possible if n=3. Thus $G=K_3$. Conversely, it is easily seen that if $G=P_n$ or K_3 , then the left equality holds in (2).

Now we consider upper bounds for the Harary index of triangle- and quadrangle-free connected graphs.

Proposition 3 Let G be a triangle- and quadrangle-free connected graph with $n \ge 2$ vertices and m edges. Then

$$H(G) \le \frac{n(n-1)}{6} + \frac{m}{2} + \frac{1}{12}M_1(G)$$
 (3)

with equality if and only if G has diameter at most 3.

Proof Note that there are $\frac{n(n-1)}{2}$ vertex pairs (at distance at least one) and the number of vertex pairs at distance one is m. Since G is triangle- and quadrangle-free, the number of vertex pairs at distance two is $\frac{1}{2}M_1(G) - m$ (see [22]). Thus

$$\begin{split} \mathrm{H}(G) &\leq m + \frac{1}{2} \left[\frac{1}{2} \mathrm{M}_1(G) - m \right] + \frac{1}{3} \left[\frac{n(n-1)}{2} - \frac{1}{2} \mathrm{M}_1(G) \right] \\ &= \frac{n(n-1)}{6} + \frac{m}{2} + \frac{1}{12} \mathrm{M}_1(G) \end{split}$$

with equality if and only if G has diameter at most 3.

Corollary 4 Let G be a triangle- and quadrangle-free connected graph with $n \ge 2$ vertices and m edges. Then

$$H(G) \le \frac{n(n-1)}{4} + \frac{m}{2} \tag{4}$$

with equality if and only if G is the star or a Moore graph of diameter 2. There are at most four Moore graphs of diameter 2 [26]: pentagon, Petersen graph, Hoffman–Singleton graph, and possibly a 57-regular graph with 3250 vertices (its existence is still an open problem).

Proof It has been shown in [23] that $M_1(G) \le n(n-1)$ with equality if and only if G is the star or a Moore graph of diameter 2. The result now follows from Proposition 3.

We mention a connection between the Harary index and the spectrum of **RD**. Let $\lambda(G)$ be the maximum eigenvalues of **RD**. Then [27]: $\lambda(G) \geq \frac{2H(G)}{n}$ with equality if and only if **RD** has equal row sums.



4 The Nordhaus-Gaddum-type result for the Harary index

Zhang and Wu [28] obtained the Nordhaus–Gaddum-type result for the Wiener index. In the following, we give the Nordhaus–Gaddum-type result for the Harary index. Note that for a graph G, \overline{G} stands for its complement [29]. There is only one connected graph P_4 on 4 vertices with connected complement $\overline{P_4} = P_4$. Obviously, $H(P_4) + H(\overline{P_4}) = 2H(P_4) = \frac{26}{3}$. For $n \ge 5$, the diameter of $\overline{P_n}$ is 2.

Lemma 5 Let G be a connected graph on $n \ge 5$ vertices with a connected \overline{G} . If \overline{G} has diameter 2, then

$$H(G) + H(\overline{G}) \ge 1 + \frac{(n-1)^2}{2} + n \sum_{k=2}^{n-1} \frac{1}{k}$$

with equality if and only if $G = P_n$.

Proof Note that both \overline{G} and $\overline{P_n}$ have diameter 2. By Proposition 2,

$$H(G) + H(\overline{G}) \ge H(P_n) + \frac{m-n+1}{2} + \frac{n(n-1)}{4} + \frac{1}{2} \left[\frac{n(n-1)}{2} - m \right]$$

$$= H(P_n) + \frac{n(n-1)}{4} + \frac{1}{2} \left[\frac{n(n-1)}{2} - (n-1) \right]$$

$$= H(P_n) + H(\overline{P_n})$$

with equality if and only if $H(G) = H(P_n)$, or equivalently, $G = P_n$.

Lemma 6 Let G be a connected graph on $n \ge 5$ vertices with a connected \overline{G} . If both G and \overline{G} have diameter 3, then $H(G) + H(\overline{G}) > H(P_n) + H(\overline{P_n})$.

Proof Let t_k and $\overline{t_k}$ be respectively the number of pairs of vertices with distance k in G and \overline{G} . Obviously, $t_2 + t_3 = \overline{t_1}$, $\overline{t_2} + \overline{t_3} = t_1$ and $t_1 + \overline{t_1} = \frac{n(n-1)}{2}$. Then

$$H(G) + H(\overline{G}) = \sum_{k=1}^{3} \frac{t_k + \overline{t_k}}{k} = t_1 + \overline{t_1} + \frac{1}{2}(t_2 + \overline{t_2} + t_3 + \overline{t_3}) - \frac{1}{6}(t_3 + \overline{t_3})$$

$$= \frac{3}{2}(t_1 + \overline{t_1}) - \frac{1}{6}(t_3 + \overline{t_3})$$

$$= \frac{3n^2 - 3n}{4} - \frac{1}{6}(t_3 + \overline{t_3}).$$

Since both G and \overline{G} have diameter 3, G (\overline{G} , respectively) has a spanning subgraph [29], say, $S_{p,n-p}$ ($S_{q,n-q}$, respectively), which is obtained by adding an edge between the centers of two vertex-disjoint stars S_p and S_{n-p} (S_q and S_{n-q} , respectively). It can be easily seen that

$$t_3 + \overline{t_3} \le (p-1)(n-p-1) + (q-1)(n-q-1) \le \frac{(n-2)^2}{2}.$$



Furthermore, if n = 6, then since $t_3 = 4$ implies that $\overline{t_3} = 1$, we have $t_3 + \overline{t_3} \le 6$, and if n = 5, then since $t_3, \overline{t_3} \le 2$ and $t_3 = 2$ imply that $\overline{t_3} = 1$, we have $t_3 + \overline{t_3} \le 3$. Let $f(G) = H(G) + H(\overline{G}) - [H(P_n) + H(\overline{P_n})]$. We need only to show that f(G) > H(G) + H(G) = H(G) + H(G) +0. Note that

$$f(G) = \frac{3n^2 - 3n}{4} - \frac{1}{6}(t_3 + \overline{t_3}) - \left[1 + \frac{(n-1)^2}{2} + n\sum_{k=2}^{n-1} \frac{1}{k}\right]$$
$$= \frac{n^2 + n - 6}{4} - \frac{1}{6}(t_3 + \overline{t_3}) - n\sum_{k=2}^{n-1} \frac{1}{k}$$

and in particular, if n = 6, then $f(G) = \frac{13}{10} - \frac{1}{6}(t_3 + \overline{t_3})$, and if n = 5, then

 $f(G) = \frac{7}{12} - \frac{1}{6}(t_3 + \overline{t_3}).$ If n = 6, then since $t_3 + \overline{t_3} \le 6$, we have f(G) > 0. If n = 5, then since $t_3 + \overline{t_3} \le 3$, we have f(G) > 0. If $n \ge 7$, then $\sum_{k=2}^{n-1} \frac{1}{k} = \sum_{k=2}^{5} \frac{1}{k} + \sum_{k=2}^{n-1} \frac{1}{k} \le \sum_{k=2}^{5} \frac{1}{k} + \sum_{k=2}^{n-1} \frac{1}{6} =$ $\frac{77}{60} + \frac{n-6}{6} = \frac{17}{60} + \frac{n}{6}$, and so

$$f(G) = \frac{n^2 + n - 6}{4} - \frac{1}{6}(t_3 + \overline{t_3}) - n \sum_{k=2}^{n-1} \frac{1}{k}$$

$$\geq \frac{n^2 + n - 6}{4} - \frac{(n-2)^2}{12} - \left(\frac{17n}{60} + \frac{n^2}{6}\right)$$

$$= \frac{18n - 110}{60} = \frac{9n - 55}{30} > 0.$$

This proves the result.

Proposition 7 Let G be a connected graph on $n \geq 5$ vertices with a connected \overline{G} . Then

$$1 + \frac{(n-1)^2}{2} + n \sum_{k=2}^{n-1} \frac{1}{k} \le H(G) + H(\overline{G}) \le \frac{3n(n-1)}{4}$$
 (5)

with left (right, respectively) equality if and only if $G = P_n$ or $G = \overline{P_n}$ (both G and \overline{G} have diameter 2, respectively).

Proof Let m and \overline{m} be respectively the number of edges of G and \overline{G} . Then $m + \overline{m} = \frac{n(n-1)}{2}$. By Proposition 2,

$$H(G) + H(\overline{G}) \le 2 \cdot \frac{n(n-1)}{4} + \frac{m + \overline{m}}{2} = \frac{n(n-1)}{2} + \frac{n(n-1)}{4} = \frac{3n(n-1)}{4}$$

with equality if and only if both G and \overline{G} have diameter 2.



If both G and \overline{G} have diameter 3, then by Lemma 6, $H(G) + H(\overline{G}) > H(P_n) + H(\overline{P_n})$. If one of them has diameter 2, then by Lemma 5, the left inequality in (5) follows, and equality holds if and only if $G = P_n$ or $G = \overline{P_n}$.

Let G be a connected graph on $n \ge 4$ vertices with a connected \overline{G} . Then [27]: $\lambda(G) + \lambda(\overline{G}) > n$. By Proposition 7 and the connection between H(G) and $\lambda(G)$ men-

tioned above, this can be improved slightly as: $\lambda(G) + \lambda(\overline{G}) > n - 1 + \frac{3}{n} + 2\sum_{k=3}^{n-1} \frac{1}{k}$.

Acknowledgements BZ was supported by the National Natural Science Foundation of China (Grant No. 10671076) and NT by the Ministry of Science, Education and Sports of Croatia (Grant No. 098-1770495-2919).

References

- D. Plavšić, S. Nikolić, N. Trinajstić, Z. Mihalić, On the Harary index for the characterization of chemical graphs. J. Math. Chem. 12, 235–250 (1993)
- O. Ivanciuc, T.S. Balaban, A.T. Balaban, Reciprocal distance matrix, related local vertex invariants and topological indices. J. Math. Chem. 12, 309–318 (1993)
- O. Ivanciuc and A.T. Balaban, The graph description of chemical structures. in *Topological Indices* and Related Descriptors in QSAR and QSPR, ed. by J. Devillers, A.T. Balaban (Gordon & Breach, Amsterdam, 1999), pp. 59–167
- M.V. Diudea, M.S. Florescu, P.V. Khadikar, Molecular Topology and Its Applications (EfiCon Press, Bucharest, 2006), p. 57
- D. Janežič, A. Miličević, S. Nikolić, N. Trinajstić, Graph Theoretical Matrices in Chemistry (Mathematical Chemistry Monographs No. 3, University of Kragujevac, Kragujevac, 2007)
- H. Hosoya, Topological index. A newly proposed quantity characterizing the topological nature of structural isomers of saturated hydrocarbons. Bull. Chem. Soc. Japan 44, 2332–2339 (1971)
- 7. H. Wiener, Structural determination of paraffin boiling points. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 69, 17–20 (1947)
- O. Ivanciuc, T. Ivanciuc, A.T. Balaban, Design of topological indices. Part 10. Parameters based on electronegativity and vovalent radius for the computation of molecular graph descriptors for heteroatom-containing molecules. J. Chem. Inf. Comput. Sci. 38, 395–401 (1998)
- M.V. Diudea, Indices of reciprocal properties or Harary indices. J. Chem. Inf. Comput. Sci. 37, 292–299 (1997)
- B. Lučić, A. Miličević, S. Nikolić, N. Trinajstić, Harary index—twelve years later. Croat. Chem. Acta 75, 847–868 (2002)
- J. Devillers, A.T. Balaban (eds.), Topological Indices and Related Descriptors in QSAR and QSPR (Gordon & Breach, Amsterdam, 1999)
- 12. R. Todeschini, V. Consonni, Handbook of Molecular Descriptors (Wiley-VCH, Weinheim, 2000)
- Z. Mihalić, N. Trinajstić, A graph-theoretical approach to structure-property relationships. J. Chem. Educ. 69, 701–712 (1992)
- O. Ivanciuc, QSAR comparative study of Wiener descriptors for weighted molecular graphs. J. Chem. Inf. Comput. Sci. 40, 1412–1422 (2000)
- B. Lučić, I. Lukovits, S. Nikolić, N. Trinajstić, Distance-related indexes in the quantitative structureproperty relationship modeling. J. Chem. Inf. Comput. Sci. 41, 527–535 (2001)
- N. Trinajstić, S. Nikolić, S.C. Basak, I. Lukovits, Distance indices and their hyper-counterparts: Intercorrelation and use in the structure-property modeling. SAR QSAR Environ. Res. 12, 31–54 (2001)
- 17. E.A. Nordhaus, J.W. Gaddum, On complementary graphs. Amer. Math. Monthly 63, 175–177 (1956)
- 18. N. Trinajstić, Chemical Graph Theory, 2nd revised edn. (CRC press, Boca Raton, 1992)
- I. Gutman, N. Trinajstić, Graph theory and molecular orbitals. III. Total π-electron energy of alternant hydrocarbons. Chem. Phys. Lett. 17, 535–538 (1972)
- S. Nikolić, G. Kovačević, A. Miličević, N. Trinajstić, The Zagreb indices 30 years after. Croat. Chem. Acta 76, 113–124 (2003)
- I. Gutman, K.C. Das, The first Zagreb index 30 years after. MATCH Commun. Math. Comput. Chem. 50, 83–92 (2004)



- B. Zhou, I. Gutman, Relationships between Wiener, hyper-Wiener and Zagreb indices. Chem. Phys. Lett. 394, 93–95 (2004)
- B. Zhou, D. Stevanović, A note on Zagreb indices. MATCH Commun. Math. Comput. Chem. 56, 571–578 (2006)
- I. Gutman, A property of the Wiener number and its modifications. Indian J. Chem. 36A, 128–132 (1997)
- 25. R.J. Wilson, Introduction to Graph Theory (Oliver & Boyd, Edinburgh, 1972), p. 46
- D.M. Cvetković, M. Doob, H. Sachs, Spectra of Graphs—Theory and Application (Johann Ambrosius Barth, Heidelberg, 1995)
- B. Zhou, N. Trinajstić, On the maximum eigenvalues of the reciprocal distance matrix and the reverse Wiener matrix. Int. J. Quantum Chem. (in press)
- L. Zhang, B. Wu, The Nordhaus–Gaddum-type inequalities for some chemical indices. MATCH Commun. Math. Comput. Chem. 54, 183–194 (2005)
- 29. F. Harary, Graph Theory, 2nd edn. (Addison-Wesley, Reading, PA, 1971)

